Role of endoscopy in the bariatric surgery patient

The role of upper endoscopy in the preoperative evaluation of patients undergoing bariatric surgery may be based, in part, on the presence or absence of symptoms. The performance of an upper endoscopy in a patient with reflux symptoms, dysphagia, and/or dyspepsia has been covered in recent guidelines and is equally relevant in the preoperative patient. However, because RYGB and DS/BPD render the distal stomach and/or duodenum inaccessible by a standard upper endoscope, the threshold for performing a preoperative endoscopic evaluation of the upper-GI tract is lower than for other surgeries.
The rationale for performing an EGD before bariatric surgery is to detect and/or treat lesions that might potentially affect the type of surgery performed, cause complications in the immediate postoperative period, or result in symptoms after surgery. In particular, a recent meta-analysis showed that obesity was associated with a significantly increased risk of GERD, erosive esophagitis, and esophageal adenocarcinoma. The value of a routine endoscopy before bariatric surgery in the patient without symptoms remains controversial because of limited observational studies in this group. However, the presence of a large hiatal hernia represents a relative contraindication to LAGB because of an increased risk for band slippage. In addition, some surgeons advocate crural tightening in patients with a hiatal hernia when these patients are undergoing any weight loss operation.

Multiple studies have been published that demonstrate that routine endoscopy before LAGB, VBG, and Roux-en-Y can identify a variety of pathologies, including hiatal hernia, esophagitis, and gastric ulcers. The majority of patients with pathology in these studies were asymptomatic. Importantly, no malignancies were identified, although, in 2 studies, the endoscopic findings resulted in an alteration of the surgical approach or a delay in surgery. Guidelines from outside the United States recommend preoperative upper endoscopy in all patients before bariatric surgery, regardless of the presence or absence of symptoms. Although an upper endoscopy in patients without symptoms can identify lesions that may alter surgical management, there are no studies that evaluated the effect of a preoperative endoscopy on surgical outcome. Contrast studies may be an alternative to an endoscopy and can provide complementary information to an endoscopy.

### TABLE 1. Grades of recommendation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of recommendation</th>
<th>Clarity of benefit</th>
<th>Methodologic strength supporting evidence</th>
<th>Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Randomized trials without important limitations</td>
<td>Strong recommendation; can be applied to most clinical settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Randomized trials with important limitations (inconsistent results, nonfatal methodologic flaws)</td>
<td>Strong recommendation; likely to apply to most practice settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C+</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Overwhelming evidence from observational studies</td>
<td>Strong recommendation; can apply to most practice settings in most situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Observational studies</td>
<td>Intermediate-strength recommendation; may change when stronger evidence is available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Randomized trials without important limitations</td>
<td>Intermediate-strength recommendation; best action may differ depending on circumstances or patients’ or societal values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Randomized trials with important limitations (inconsistent results, nonfatal methodologic flaws)</td>
<td>Weak recommendation; alternative approaches may be better under some circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Observational studies</td>
<td>Very weak recommendation; alternative approaches likely to be better under some circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Expert opinion only</td>
<td>Weak recommendation; likely to change as data become available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### TABLE 2. Mechanism of weight loss for common obesity surgeries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VBG</td>
<td>Restrictive (Fig. 1A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAGB</td>
<td>Restrictive (Fig. 1B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYGB</td>
<td>Restrictive/maldigestive/behavioral modification (Fig. 1C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeve gastrectomy</td>
<td>Restrictive (Fig. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS/BPD</td>
<td>Restrictive/maldigestive (Fig. 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Helicobacter pylori infection is present in 30% to 40% of patients scheduled for bariatric surgery, and preoperative testing in these patients may be useful. In one study of patients without symptoms who were scheduled for an RYGB, the patients with a positive rapid urease test were significantly more likely to have an abnormal endoscopy than those who tested negative (94% vs 51%). In another study, patients with H pylori infection were more likely to develop postoperative marginal ulcers. In patients without symptoms and who were not undergoing an endoscopy, noninvasive H pylori testing, followed by treatment, if positive, is recommended.

ENDOSCOPY IN THE POSTOPERATIVE PATIENT

General principles
When an endoscopy is considered in a patient who had bariatric surgery, the endoscopist should be aware of the operative procedure performed and the findings on preprocedural imaging studies, and must understand the expected anatomy, including the extent of resection and the length of surgically created limbs. Direct communication with the surgeon, if possible, is advisable. Feitoza and Baron published a detailed review of endoscopy in patients with postsurgical anatomy, including information on the equipment needed for successful completion of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, and tips on accessing the distant or excluded portions of the GI tract. The choice of endoscope will depend on the indication and the need for intubation of the excluded limb or therapeutic intervention (eg, ERCP). In patients who are in the early postoperative period, air insufflation may have potentially detrimental effects in the presence of leaks and/or tenuous anastomoses. If there is suspicion of a leak, then the endoscopist should consider contrast radiography as an initial diagnostic test. Contrast studies are complementary to an endoscopy and are also helpful in delineating anatomy.

The expected endoscopic findings after an RYGB include a normal esophagus and gastroesophageal junction. The size of the gastric pouch varies. Special care should be made to examine the pouch and suture line for fistulas and ulcerations. The gastrojejunal stoma should be carefully examined. The width of the anastomosis is generally 10 mm to 12 mm in diameter. Beyond the anastomosis, a short, blind limb is often visible alongside the efferent jejunal limb. The jejunojejunal anastomosis can sometimes be reached with an upper endoscope, depending on the length of the Roux limb. It should be noted that

Figure 1. Illustrations of various weight loss surgeries. A, VBG. B, Laparoscopic adjustable gastric band. C, RYGB. (Courtesy Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.)

Figure 2. Illustration of sleeve gastrectomy. (Courtesy Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.)
the length of the Roux limb after an RYGB can vary significantly from standard Roux limbs created for nonbariatric procedures and can range from 50 to 150 cm. The distal or excluded stomach cannot be visualized in the absence of a fistula with a regular gastroscope. A VBG produces a gastric pouch somewhat similar in appearance to an RYGB. The banded stoma is generally 10 to 12 mm in diameter, and, once traversed, the distal stomach and duodenum can be visualized.

The sleeve gastrectomy produces a long tubular stomach limited in expansion by a staple line that parallels the lesser curvature. The staple line should be examined for defects and ulcerations. The duodenal switch procedure is often performed in conjunction with a sleeve gastrectomy, but also includes a duodeno-jejunal anastomosis visible just distal to an intact gastric pylorus. In the latter, the ampulla is thus not available for visualization or ERCP in a standard fashion.

Laparoscopic adjustable gastric bands produce a variable amount of extrinsic circumferential compression on the proximal stomach that is evident on upper endoscopy. The staple line should be examined for defects and ulcerations. The band is placed around the upper stomach, and the band is adjusted to control gastric outflow. The gastric pouch is usually 30 to 50 mL in volume. The endoscopist should also evaluate for the possible presence of band erosion into the gastric wall. There are a variety of symptoms and unique postsurgical complications after bariatric surgery (Tables 3 and 4). These will be discussed in the following sections.

## Indications for an Endoscopy in the Bariatric Surgery Patient

### Symptoms

Nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain are among the most commonly encountered symptoms after bariatric surgery and may result from one or several structural and functional etiologies. Symptoms are frequently associated with dietary noncompliance as to the volume and type of foods eaten, rapid ingestion, or inadequate chewing. Patients with persistent symptoms, despite counseling and behavior modification, should be evaluated, because these symptoms may indicate the development of marginal ulcers, gastrogastric fistulas, postsurgical reflux disease, or partial or complete anastomotic obstruction. An endoscopy is the preferred strategy, unless there is a suspicion of leaks or fistulas, when contrast radiography is more appropriate. Patient history may be helpful in differentiating the etiology of pain and in guiding the type of investigation. Nausea, vomiting, abdominal distention, and bloating alone or in conjunction with abdominal pain can suggest an obstructive cause, such as strictures, internal hernias, or bezoars, but may also be an indication of dumping syndrome. Also, dysphagia can result from esophageal dysmotility or

---

**TABLE 3. Signs and symptoms prompting possible endoscopic evaluation after bariatric surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper-GI symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia/bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight regain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4. Upper-GI postbariatric surgical complications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marginal ulcers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaks/fistulas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bezoars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band erosion and slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithias</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 3. Illustration of DS/BPD. (Courtesy Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.)
gastrojejunal anastomotic stenosis. In one study, 62% of patients who were seen with persistent nausea and vomiting and 30% of those who are seen with abdominal pain or dyspepsia after an RYGB had significant findings on upper endoscopy, including marginal ulcers, stomal stenosis, and staple-line dehiscence.28

Marginal ulcers
Marginal ulcers are typically seen 1 to 6 months after surgery and may present with abdominal pain, bleeding, or nausea, although they may also be asymptomatic.28 The ulcers occur at the gastrojejunal anastomosis, usually on the intestinal side, and are thought to arise from a number of factors, including local ischemia, staple-line disruption, effects of acid on exposed intestinal mucosa, and the presence of staples or suture material. Factors that increase the risk of marginal ulcers include smoking and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, whereas proton pump inhibitor use appears to decrease the risk. The true incidence of a marginal ulcer after an RYGB is uncertain, with reports that range from <1% to 36%.28,30

GERD
Obesity itself is a risk factor for GERD, and symptomatic GERD is frequent in the bariatric population, with a prevalence of 30% to 60%.31,34 The effects of bariatric interventions on GERD appear to be variable. Both gastric banding and RYGB were shown, in multiple series, to reduce GERD symptoms at rates that approach or exceed 90%.31,35-37 However, other studies suggest the converse, with increased symptoms after surgery.38,39 and endoscopic evidence of reflux esophagitis in up to 56% of patients.40 Postoperative GERD may be related to gastrojejunal anastomotic stenosis. In addition, patients who have postoperative symptoms may have underlying motility disorders; one study suggests that gastric bands may aggravate symptoms of GERD in this population.32 The variable results may also represent differences in the size of the gastric pouch or band position. It is suggested that the size of the pouch and quantity of acid secretion influence the incidence and severity of GERD symptoms.

Symptoms of GERD after surgery should be managed as in patients who did not have a bypass.14 An endoscopy should be reserved for the evaluation of symptoms refractory to medical therapy or to rule out complications of GERD and inciting factors, such as obstruction of the gastrojejunal anastomosis, increased pouch size, or distal limb obstruction. Nonacid regurgitation in patients with a band might indicate an inappropriately tight band adjustment, and these patients should be referred to their surgeon for additional evaluation.

Fistula
Gastric leaks and gastrogastric fistulas are potentially serious complications of gastric bypass surgery and occur in 1% to 6% of patients.35,41-44 Extraluminal gastric leaks can result in cutaneous fistula, peritonitis, abscess, sepsis, organ failure, and death.45 Clinical manifestations include tachycardia; fever; nausea; vomiting; and flank, abdominal, or chest pain. Most leaks occur at the gastrojejunal anastomosis, with nearly all the rest occurring in the remnant (excluded) stomach; leaks from the jejunojejun al anastomosis are less common but do occur and usually require reoperation. Early postoperative extraluminal leaks are usually diagnosed by upper-GI contrast studies or CT, although the false-negative rate was reported at 30%.46 There is little role for an endoscopy in the presence of known leaks or fistulas in the early postoperative period. An endoscopy can be considered if the patient is clinically stable, there is uncertainty of the diagnosis, or if there is a planned endoscopic intervention.47,48

Chronic gastrogastric fistulas may be found in the presence of marginal ulcers, and patients may present with nausea, vomiting, epigastric pain, and weight gain. An upper-GI contrast study is sensitive for their detection. A large fistula can also be visualized by an endoscopy. Endoscopic therapy for postoperative fistulas has been performed by using fibrin-glue injection49,50 or self-expanding stents.51,52 Case reports and small case series indicate that fistula closure may also be achieved by using various combinations of mucosal ablation, glue, the application of endoscopic clips, the placement of self-expanding stents, and endoscopic suturing devices.54,55 However, these interventions cannot be routinely recommended at this time, because of a lack of controlled data.

Stenosis
Gastrojejunal stomas are generally between 10 and 12 mm in diameter to maximize the restrictive nature of the operation. Anastomotic strictures, defined as anastomoses that are smaller than 10 mm in diameter, are a common complication of RYGB that occur in 3% to 28% of patients.57,60 The occurrence of gastrojejunal strictures may be associated with marginal ulcers. Patients with anastomotic strictures generally present with nausea, vomiting, or dysphagia, usually within the first year after surgery.57 Stenosis can be identified by contrast radiography, but direct endoscopic visualization is preferable, because it has high sensitivity.61 In addition, marginal ulceration can be identified, and dilation of strictures can be performed.

Endoscopic dilation of anastomotic strictures can be performed safely and effectively by using through-the-scope balloon dilators and wire-guided bougie dilators.59,62,63 If the stenosis cannot be traversed by an endoscopy, then fluoroscopy is helpful to allow guidewire passage. Gradual dilation over multiple sessions may reduce the risk of perforation.57,64 Even with multiple sessions, some stenoses cannot be adequately dilated by endoscopic means, and reoperation is needed.57 It is controversial if dilation to a diameter larger than 15 to
16 mm should be performed, because it could possibly lead to weight regain. However, in one study, dilation to at least 15 mm was not associated with weight regain, yet, it was associated with a reduced need for more procedures.65

It is important to recognize that, in patients with an RYGB, the Roux limb can be delivered to the upper abdomen to connect with the gastric pouch in an antecolic fashion, in front of the transverse colon, or through a retrocolic tunnel created in the transverse mesocolon. If this tunnel is created too tightly or if postoperative strictureting occurs, then this limb can be narrowed and lead to obstructive symptoms.66 On endoscopic examination, the gastrojejunal anastomosis will be normal, but the jejunum beyond the anastomosis will be dilated until the point where it traverses the mesentery where the stricture will be seen. Because the risk of perforation is high, dilation in these cases is not advised.67 A review of the operative note and communication with the operating surgeon are helpful in knowing which type of limb delivery was used.

**Dumping syndrome**

Dumping syndrome is related to rapid emptying from the stomach into the small bowel and does not occur after VBG, LAGB, or DS/BPD. Symptoms may occur early (within 15-20 minutes) or be delayed (up to 2 hours) after a meal and include tachycardia, palpitations, diaphoresis, flushing, diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting. The mechanism is believed to be related to rapid fluid shifts, release of vasoactive peptides, and fluctuations in serum glucose. The true incidence in patients who have had bariatric surgery is unknown but has been reported to be 14% in a meta-analysis of 62 studies.68 Individual studies reported rates as high as 70%.69 The diagnosis of dumping syndrome is made based upon clinical presentation, but an endoscopy may be considered to rule out other causes of associated symptoms.70

**Bezoars**

Food bezoars can occur in patients who had weight-loss surgery, most commonly after gastric banding.71,72 They may form within the first month after surgery or be seen later, with symptoms of nausea, vomiting, and dysphagia.62 Bezoars can be diagnosed and treated by an endoscopy with fragmentation and removal.73 Associated gastrojejunal anastomotic stenoses, if present, should be managed with dilation.

**Band slippage and erosion**

Band erosion into the gastric lumen and band slippage can occur after an LAGB. In a long-term study, a 9.5% rate of band erosion and a 6.3% rate of pouch dilatation/band slippage were seen. In another study, band erosion was identified in 11% of 75 patients with symptoms.74 Band erosions are best diagnosed at an endoscopy, whereas band slippage may best be diagnosed by contrast radiography. Bezoars are best diagnosed at an endoscopy, whereas band erosions are best diagnosed at an endoscopy, whereas band slippage may best be diagnosed by contrast radiography. Band erosion may be asymptomatic or can produce abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, abdominal access-site infection, increased food intake or weight gain, and GI bleeding. Band slippage may present with weight gain, increasing reflux symptoms, or obstruction. Endoscopic findings of band slippage may include an enlarged pouch size and reflux esophagitis, gastritis, or ulcers. In severe cases, band slippage can lead to gastric necrosis, a potentially life-threatening condition.75,76 In patients with VBG, endoscopic removal of the polypropylene mesh that had eroded into the stomach was reported.77,78

**Bleeding and anemia**

Bleeding in the patient after bariatric surgery may be acute or chronic, and may present as iron deficiency anemia.79 Bleeding may arise anywhere in the upper-GI tract, including the bypassed (excluded) portion of the stomach in patients who had an RYGB. In the early postoperative period, bleeding occurred from the anastomotic staple lines in approximately 1% to 4% of patients who underwent an RYGB.80 Bleeding is rare in patients who undergo LAGB, with reported incidences as low as 0.1%.81,82 Patients with signs or symptoms of acute or chronic bleeding should be evaluated with an endoscopy. Accessing the excluded portion of the stomach and the “Roux” limb can be difficult and frequently requires the use of a colonoscope or a double-balloon enteroscope.83 Recently, ShapeLock technology (USGI Medical, Inc, San Clemente, Calif) was used to access and evaluate the defunctionalized stomach.84 When traditional approaches to an endoscopy in the patient with bleeding or anemia are unsuccessful, access may be gained through a surgically created gastrostomy.85

Iron deficiency is also a common feature after an RYGB, with an estimated prevalence of 30% to 50%.86,87 It has also been described with varying prevalence in patients who have undergone DS/BPD.88,89 The mechanism of iron deficiency is multifactorial. If GI bleeding is suspected, then an appropriate workup should include endoscopic evaluation.

**Diarrhea and nutritional deficiencies**

Some bariatric procedures are designed to cause intestinal malabsorption. A full description of the nutritional issues in these patients is beyond the scope of this guideline. An endoscopic evaluation for symptoms of diarrhea or nutritional deficiencies should only be pursued if there is a suspicion of small-bowel mucosal disease as a cause for diarrhea.

In patients with diarrhea, evaluation should follow algorithms similar to those advocated for the evaluation of patients without a history of bariatric surgery. The role of endoscopy in the evaluation of diarrhea was reviewed in a separate guideline.90 Bacterial overgrowth can also occur because of a blind loop syndrome in the excluded small bowel. An empiric trial of antibiotics can be useful in
patients with clinical features consistent with bacterial overgrowth and is a reasonable initial approach. In patients who do not respond to antibiotics, breath testing for bacterial overgrowth or endoscopic aspiration for quantitative bacterial culture from the excluded segment should be considered. Obstruction of the excluded segment can be ruled out with an endoscopy.

**Choledocholithiasis and ERCP after bypass**

Morbid obesity is a risk factor for gallstone formation, and rapid weight loss is an independent and potentially compounding risk factor. Patients who had bariatric surgery have a high rate of preoperative choledolithiasis and postoperative gallstone formation. Preoperative and intraoperative studies indicated incidences of choledolithiasis of 27% in band candidates and 14% in patients with a gastric bypass, respectively. Postoperative rates of gallstone detection may be as high as 22% to 71%, and cholecystectomy was required in 7% to 41% of patients who underwent gastric bypass. Rates of choledocholithiasis after a gastric bypass are unknown. Whereas an ERCP usually can be performed after gastric banding, an ERCP in a patient with an RYGB presents significant technical challenges. No large series of ERCP has been published in this population, and success rates of duodenal intubation are likely dependent on operator skill and surgical factors, such as jejunal limb and afferent loop length. Both side-viewing endoscopes and forward-viewing endoscopes have been used successfully. In the largest reported series composed of 15 patients, the papilla was reached and successfully cannulated in 66% of patients. Needle-knife sphincterotomy, sphincter of Oddi manometry, stone extraction, and biliary stent placement were successfully performed. In cases that are not accessible by standard endoscopy, laparoscopically assisted transgastric ERCP was reported. In another series, percutaneous gastroenterostomy tubes were placed into the gastric remnant, and a pediatric duodenoscope was advanced into the gastric remnant. Alternative means of diagnosis (eg, MRCP) and therapy (eg, percutaneous transhepatic intervention) should be considered when treating patients with an RYGB with choledocholithiasis. Because of the exceedingly high incidence of cholecystitis and symptomatic gallbladder disease after biliopancreatic diversion and a distal (extremely long) RYGB, many surgeons perform prophylactic cholecystectomy at the time of the initial surgery. Although the role of prophylactic cholecystectomy in patients without symptoms and who are undergoing standard RYGB and LAGB remains controversial, prophylactic administration of ursodiol in these patient populations appears to reduce the incidence of gallstone formation.

**Endoscopic treatments for obesity**

Endoscopic therapy for morbid obesity is desirable. Currently, the endoscopic devices used for the treatment of obesity are space occupying. The first device used in the United States was the Garren-Edwards gastric bubble (American-Edwards Laboratories, Irvine, Calif), a 220-mL polyurethane cylindrical device with a self-sealing valve. A double-blind crossover sham study demonstrated no benefit over diet and behavioral modifications. Complications included gastric erosions, ulcers, small-bowel obstruction, Mallory-Weiss tears, and esophageal lacerations. There may be a role for such devices in patients who are massively obese before consideration of bariatric surgery. One study found that preoperative placement of the Garren-Edwards gastric bubble induced a 10% mean weight loss over 3 months. Another study reported that preoperative placement significantly reduced liver volume, possibly facilitating an RYGB. Other endoscopic treatments are currently under investigation.

**Weight regain**

Failing to lose weight or regaining weight after an initial weight loss may indicate the development of a gastrogastric fistula from staple-line dehiscence, a large patulous gastrojejunal anastomosis that fails to restrict food intake, or dilatation of the gastric pouch. While these may be diagnosed by contrast radiography, confirmation of the diagnosis or visualization by an endoscopy may be desirable. Furthermore, some small gastrogastric fistula can be managed endoscopically. Excessively patulous gastrojejunal anastomoses were treated successfully with 4-quadrant endoscopic injection of sodium morrhuate into the stoma to cause scarring. By using this method, a stomal size of ≤ 12 mm was achieved in 18 of 28 patients (64%). Emerging technologies may allow endoscopic revision of the gastrojejunal anastomosis and reduction of the pouch size in patients with weight regain after an RYGB.

**SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Bariatric surgical intervention presents new challenges to the endoscopist:
- An upper endoscopy should be performed in all patients with upper-GI–tract symptoms who are to undergo bariatric surgery. (Level 2C)
- Upper endoscopy should be considered in all patients who are to undergo an RYGB, regardless of the presence of symptoms. (Level 3)
- In patients without symptoms and who are not undergoing an endoscopy, noninvasive *H pylori* testing followed by treatment, if positive, is recommended. (Level 3)
- In patients without symptoms and who were undergoing gastric banding, a preoperative upper endoscopy should be considered to exclude large hernias that may change the surgical approach. (Level 2C)
Role of endoscopy in the bariatric surgery patient

- An endoscopic evaluation is useful for diagnosis and management of postoperative bariatric surgical symptoms and complications. (Level 2C)
- An ERCP is difficult in patients who had an RYGB, and an MRCP should be performed in cases where other noninvasive imaging studies are inconclusive. An ERCP in RYGB patients should be selectively performed. (Level 3)

Abbreviations: ASGE, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; BMI, Body mass index; DS/BPD, duodenal switch and bilipancreatic diversion; LAGB, laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding; RYGB, Roux-en-Y gastrojejunal bypass; VBG, vertical banded gastroplasty.
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